U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/634,843 Amendment filed September 9, 2008 Reply to OA dated April 16, 2008

## REMARKS

Claims 1, 11 and 21-24 have been amended in order to more particularly point out, and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicants regard as their invention. Claims 6, 9, 16, 19, 25 and 26 are cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer. Support for the claim amendments is found as follows: Claims 1 and 11 at page 47, lines 14-18 of the specification; Claims 21 and 22 at page 32, lines 17-20 of the specification; and Claims 23 and 24 at page 51, lines 3-7 of the specification. The Applicants respectfully submit that no new matter has been added. It is believed that this Amendment is fully responsive to the Office Action dated April 16, 2008.

## **CLAIM OBJECTIONS:**

Regarding the objections to the claims, it is believed that the above-indicated amendments overcome the objections. Removal of the objections is respectfully requested.

## CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 USC §112:

Regarding the rejection of Claims 21 and 22 under 35 USC§112, it is believed that the amendments to Claims 21 and 22 overcome the rejection. Removal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/634,843 Amendment filed September 9, 2008 Reply to OA dated April 16, 2008

## CLAIM REJECTION UNDER 35 USC§103:

Claims 1, 4, 6, 11, 14, 16, and 21-26 are rejected under 35 USC§103(a) as being unpatentable over Paul McFedries, in view of Jaaskelainen, U. S. Patent No. 5,835,088, in view of Wolfe, U. S. Patent No. 6,341,305, and in further view of Bonura et al, U. S. Patent No. 6,670,970.

Claims 7, 9, 10, 17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 USC§103(a) as being unpatentable over Paul McFedries, in view of Jaaskelainen, in view of Wolfe, in view of Bonura et al, and in further view of Leavitt, U.S. Patent No. 6,918,091. Reconsideration and removal of the rejections are respectfully requested.

On page 5 of the Office Action, regarding previously amended Claims 1 and 11, the Examiner states that Bonura baches displaying a window after a predetermined time has elapsed. However, Bonura does not disclose or suggest the aforementioned features of currently amended Claim 1 or 11.

In addition, on pages 6 to 7 of the Office Action, regarding previously added claims 21-24, the Examiner states that the teaching of McFedries shows the window switching apparatus with a change and display processing unit in Fig. 25.2 and the well known implementation of selecting an object using a scroll method render the limitations as recited in Claims 21-24 as obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made.

However, it is respectfully submitted that McFedries does not disclose or suggest the d features of currently amended Claims 21-24. In addition, the aforementioned features of

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/634,843 Amendment filed September 9, 2008 Reply to OA dated April 16, 2008

currently amended Claims 21-24 are not well known. Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that the features of currently amended Claims 21-24 are not obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made.

It is respectfully submitted that McFedries, Jaaskelainen, Wolfe and Bonura, alone or in combination, fail to describe teach, or suggest the features of amended Claim 1 or 11, or the features of Claims 21 to 24. It is respectfully submitted that the features of Claims 1 and 11, and the features of Claims 21 to 24 patentably distinguish over McFedries, Jaaskelainen, Wolfe and Bonura and should be allowed. Also, Claims 4, 7, 10, 14, 17 and 20 each depend from Claim 1 or 11, directly or indirectly, and should be allowed because they recite the additional features.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Claims 1, 4, 7, 10-11, 14, 17 and 20-24, as amended, are believed to be in condition for allowance, which action, at an early date, is requested.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 0 9 2008

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/634,843 Amendment filed September 9, 2008 Reply to OA dated April 16, 2008

If, for any reason, it is felt that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the Applicants' undersigned attorney at the telephone number indicated below to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

In the event that this paper is not timely filed, the Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fees for such an extension of time and any other fees which may be due with respect to this paper, to Deposit Account No. 01-2340.

Respectfully submitted,

KRATZ, QUINTOS & HANSON, LLP

James N. Baker Attorney for Applicants Reg. No. 40,899

JNB/llf

Atty. Docket No. 030888 Suite 400 1420 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 659-2930

PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Enclosure: Petition for Extension of Time